

ENTERED

February 12, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

PAUL ALEXANDER SERRANO,

Plaintiff,

v.

MCCONNEL UNIT.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00268

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 8). The M&R recommends that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's claims without prejudice. (D.E. 8, p. 2).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Badaiki v. Schlumberger Holdings Corp.*, 512 F. Supp. 3d 741, 743–44 (S.D. Tex. 2021) (Eskridge, J.).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E. 8). Accordingly, all claims in this action are **DISMISSED without prejudice**. The Clerk of Court is **INSTRUCTED** to **CLOSE** the case.

SO ORDERED.


 DAVID S. MORALES
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas
 February 9th, 2024